

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/896,278

Applicant(s)

LAVIELLE ET AL.

Examiner

Emily Bernhardt

Art Unit

1624

All Participants:(1) Emily Bernhardt.(2) Mr. Patrick Sage.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 April 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Scope of uses in claims 33 and 34


Claims discussed:

18,33-34

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Alzheimer's Disease, attention deficit disorder, slow wave sleep disorders,".

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: While counsel intended to delete libido disorders and sexual dysfunction from claim 34, the examiner indicated that uses remaining in claims 33 and 34 are not enabled based on references discussed in previous action. Limiting these claims to anxiety, depression, Parkinson's Disease and impulsive behavior disorders would overcome the sole rejection remaining. While slow wave sleep disorders is correlated to activity relied on herein it is not described in applicants' disclosure. Mr. Sage agreed that the claims be so limited to pass the case to issue. On 4/15 the examiner noted that "carbon" on the 5th last line of claim 18 on p.1 should be in plural form and that aryl and arylalkyl appearing on p.2 are extraneous since these no longer appear as choices in the variables. It was agreed these corrections be also made as well as adding a period at the end of claim 29.